USA v. Bonds Doc. 160

United States District Court

Northern District Of California

Before The Honorable Susan Illston

United States of America,)

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

NO. CR 07-0732 SI

Barry Lamar Bonds,)

Defendant.)

San Francisco, California Friday, February 27, 2009

Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings

Appearances:

For Plaintiff: Joseph P. Russoniello

United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102

By: Jeff Nedrow, Esquire

Matt Parrella, Esquire Jeff Finigan, Esquire

Assistant United States Attorneys

For Defendant: Law Offices of Allen Ruby

125 South Market Street, Suite 1001

San Jose, California 95113

By: Allen Ruby, Esquire

(Appearances continued on next page.)

Reported By: Sahar McVickar, RPR, CSR 12963

Official Reporter, U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California

(Computerized Transcription by Eclipse)

Sahar McVickar, C.S.R. No. 12963, RPR
Official Court Reporter, U.S. District Court
(415) 626-6060

1	Appearances, continued:	
2	For Defendant:	Arguedas, Cassman & Headley, LLP 803 Hearst Avenue
3	By:	Berkeley, California 94710 Christina C. Arguedas, Esquire Ted Cassman, Esquire
5		Riordan & Horgan
6		523 Octavia Street San Francisco, California 94102
7	By:	Dennis Patrick Riordan, Esquire
8		Geragos & Geragos 644 South Figueroa Street
9	By:	Los Angeles, California 90017 Mark John Geragos, Esquire
10		
11		000
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	Friday, February 27, 2009 10:30 a.m.
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	THE CLERK: Calling criminal 07-732, United States
4	versus Bonds.
5	Counsel, please state your appearances for the
6	record.
7	MR. PARRELLA: Good morning, Your Honor.
8	Matt Parrella Jeff Nedrow, Jeff Finigan.
9	THE COURT: Good morning.
10	MR. RUBY: Good morning.
11	Allen Ruby for Mr. Bonds.
12	MS. ARGUEDAS: Good morning, Your Honor.
13	Chris Arguedas.
14	MR. CASSMAN: Good morning, Your Honor.
15	Ted Cassman.
16	MR. RIORDAN: Good morning.
17	Dennis Riordan and Donald Horgan.
18	MR. GERAGOS: Good morning, Your Honor.
19	Mark Geragos, G-e-r-a-g-o-s.
20	THE COURT: Good morning. Thank you for being here.
21	Mr. Geragos, I had asked that your client be here
22	because I understand that he has been subpoenaed to testify at
23	the trial, which is to begin next week, and there is some
24	question whether he plans to appear and testify. And, I wanted
25	to explore that this morning.

1	MR. GERAGOS: Okay. And thank you for accommodating
2	my schedule.
3	THE COURT: You're welcome.
4	MR. GERAGOS: My judge down in Southern California
5	thanks you.
6	There is no question, at least in his mind, he will
7	appear whenever ordered to, but he is not going to testify.
8	And that is his position, and it hasn't changed since all of
9	the other litigation that we have done.
10	THE COURT: All right. Has he he's been provided
11	with an immunity order?
12	MR. GERAGOS: He was originally given an immunity
13	order. I believe that if they were to try to go down that road
14	again, that he would need a new immunity order. I don't want
15	to get into why I believe that, but I believe that is the case.
16	THE COURT: All right, thank you.
17	MR. GERAGOS: Okay.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Parrella?
19	MR. PARRELLA: First of all, we did provide a
20	immunity order back in May 12th of '06; we believe it continues
21	because it states all ancillary purposes. However, if we want
22	one specifically for the trial, we can submit one.
23	THE COURT: I think that would be prudent.
24	MR. PARRELLA: So, we will do that immediately.
25	And while it wasn't really addressed whether this

witness would assert a Fifth Amendment right to refuse, to the extent that he does or may, that should not be an issue.

THE COURT: Well, that's why I think it would be prudent to have a fresh order in place.

MR. PARRELLA: All right.

(Brief pause in the proceedings.)

MR. PARRELLA: You're thinking, but I just would like to make a suggestion that although I understand counsel's representations about his client's position, the purpose of this is to determine what his clients position is.

THE COURT: I understand that. I was just thinking what I would ask Mr. Anderson to share with me today.

I wanted to tell you, Mr. Anderson, that the reason we are having this hearing is to -- the reason that I wanted to have this today, as opposed to next week, is so that the lawyers in the case would know what they could expect to happen at the trial so that as we begin the trial the jurors will be fairly informed of what testimony they might -- might expect to hear. If you agree to testify in this case, I know that the prosecution will want to have your testimony and will be -- be planning on featuring that testimony in the presentation of their case. If you don't testify, that's going to make a difference in how they proceed, so that's why we are doing this today.

What I do want to tell you, I think we will have to

1	do this again after the trial begins. So that the
2	MR. GERAGOS: Can we approach?
3	THE COURT: That would be good because I need to
4	have a conversation with Mr. Anderson a little bit.
5	MR. GERAGOS: I believe that Your Honor is correct;
6	I don't think there is a case off the out of the Sixth
7	Circuit, <u>Johnson</u> , you can't have an anticipatory contempt. The
8	only accommodation, again, I would ask is that I they recess
9	the case that I'm in, I should be arguing on Tuesday, and I
10	could come back up on Wednesday, if that's when the Court
11	wanted to do it.
12	THE COURT: I think Wednesday would be fine because
13	my current plan is that we will pick the jury on Tuesday
14	MR. GERAGOS: Okay.
15	THE COURT: And so we wouldn't start until
16	Wednesday, anyway.
17	MR. PARRELLA: That would be fine.
18	MR. GERAGOS: I think under the <u>Johnson</u> case, even
19	though the Court obviously, you can do with him whatever you
20	want, within limits, I think that under that case that it has
21	to be done during the proceeding.
22	THE COURT: Right. That would be my that would
23	be my intention, but I wanted to share with you my thought
24	right now.
25	The Government has represented to me, and I believe

it to be true, that if you testify, your testimony would be
central to the presentation of their case, so it's important.

And so, you will need to respond to the subpoena and be here,
basically, when I order you to be here and when the subpoena
says to. And as far as I'm concerned, Wednesday morning would
be fine for that, next week. That would be March 4th?

MR. GERAGOS: Fourth.

THE COURT: Fourth.

And at that time, I will order you to testify. I will inquire whether you want to, and if you tell me no, then I'll order that you do so. I'll make sure that between now and then we get an immunity order so that you will not be able to assert your Fifth Amendment right not to testify. And there won't be any right left not to testify, and I'll order you to testify. And if you refuse to testify, you'll be in contempt of my order.

MR. ANDERSON: (Nodding head in the affirmative.)

THE COURT: And, I will send you to custody --

MR. ANDERSON: (Nodding head in the affirmative.)

THE COURT: -- until you purge yourself of the contempt, which would be by coming back and testifying. So, you will be given an opportunity to change your mind any time during the course of the trial and come to testify, and that would take care of it. But, that's what I will do if you don't testify in this case.

1	Do you understand that, sir?
2	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	Is it your plan currently not to testify?
5	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	Mr. Parrella, if you get me an appropriate immunity
8	order, I will sign it. And will we'll get that may we serve
9	that on you, Mr. Geragos?
10	MR. GERAGOS: Absolutely. That's fine, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: And your lawyer will get it,
12	Mr. Anderson, but it will it will mean that you don't have
13	the right not to testify; do you understand?
14	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MR. PARRELLA: We'll provide that to him.
17	THE COURT: Okay, that would be fine.
18	I'll sign it today. It will be e-filed, and we'll
19	get it to you as soon as we can.
20	MR. GERAGOS: I
21	THE COURT: And
22	Yes, sir?
23	MR. GERAGOS: I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt.
24	I was just going to say I understand the Court's
25	admonition to him about back into custody, and I assume, and I

1	don't want do get in the way of your trial, but I assume at
2	some point you will allow me to argue as to why I don't think
3	he can be put back into custody for the refusal?
4	THE COURT: Sure, I'll allow you to do that.
5	Do you want to do it now, or do you want to do it
6	MR. GERAGOS: I don't think that I can do it now
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MR. GERAGOS: because it would be advisory. So I
9	think I have to wait until the Court does it and we go jump
10	through all the hoops. Otherwise, I'm afraid I would not have
11	perfected any my record.
12	THE COURT: Okay, we can do that at the time. But
13	assuming we are in trial, we'll do it on a break.
14	MR. GERAGOS: I understand that.
15	THE COURT: So that we don't interfere with the
16	jury.
17	MR. PARRELLA: We agree with that, Your Honor.
18	I have one just one further request: In your
19	admonition to the witness, the Court referred, in effect, to
20	civil contempt, and I would just also ask that these actions
21	may subject to him to actual punishment under criminal contempt
22	as well.
23	THE COURT: Well, that's possible but that's not
24	what
25	MR. PARRELLA: Possible, possible.

	THE COURT: what's for next week. Next week is
2	not criminal contempt, next week would be civil contempt, which
3	is meant to persuade you to testify. And any time you say,
4	yes, I'm ready to testify, why, then the contempt would be
5	purged, and you could come in and testify. And, the reason
6	that I'm doing that is because the Government has represented
7	that your testimony is very important in this case.
8	But Mr. Parrella is right; in addition to the civil
9	contempt, there could be a finding of criminal contempt. It
10	would require a hearing and you would have a right to be heard
11	or not, depending on if you wanted to talk. And that could
12	actually that could result in punishment. But that's
13	separate and apart from what I'm suggesting will happen next
14	week, which is just an effort to make you testify.
15	MR. ANDERSON: (Nodding head in the affirmative.)
16	THE COURT: Anything else for now, Mr. Parrella?
17	MR. PARRELLA: Not on this matter, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
19	MR. GERAGOS: The order is for 10:00 clock on the
20	4th?
21	THE COURT: Is that what you said in the subpoena?
21 22	THE COURT: Is that what you said in the subpoena? MR. PARRELLA: I believe that would be adequate.
22	MR. PARRELLA: I believe that would be adequate.

1	planning that they go, we would be having opening statements on
2	Wednesday. 10:00 o'clock would be fine, but it probably won't
3	really happen, till, say, noon.
4	MR. GERAGOS: Do you want us here at 10:00 or do you
5	want us here at noon?
6	THE COURT: Why don't you be here at 10:00. But you
7	may have to wait a little bit.
8	MR. GERAGOS: I'll bring reading material.
9	Thank you, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: All right.
11	MR. GERAGOS: May we be excused?
12	THE COURT: Mr. Parrella?
13	MR. PARRELLA: Yes, Your Honor?
14	THE COURT: Is there any the reason that
15	Mr. Anderson and Mr. Geragos have to stay any longer?
16	MR. PARRELLA: Not from our side.
17	THE COURT: All right, thank you.
18	MR. GERAGOS: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
19	(Attorney Geragos and Mr. Anderson exit
20	courtroom.)
21	THE COURT: There are some housekeeping matters I
22	have to speak with all counsel about.
23	And Mr. Parrella, I don't know what else is on your
24	list.
25	MR. PARRELLA: Well, I don't want to waste

1	everyone's time here: I feel obligated to inform the Court
2	that the department is contemplating an appeal on the
3	February 19th order. I say that because it is being
4	contemplated. And, we will inform the Court and counsel as
5	soon as as possible on that. But that's
6	THE COURT: Just so you know
7	MR. PARRELLA: That's where it lies right now.
8	THE COURT: We have 90 jurors who have been time
9	qualified for a month-long trial. That is an enormous
10	undertaking and a huge commitment on the part of the Court to
11	get this case tried.
12	We've got the questionnaires prepared. We are ready
13	to go. We have made enormous accommodations so that the First
14	Amendment rights of the press and the public to hear this
15	matter can be accommodated. And it will be an enormous expense
16	and inconvenience if the trial doesn't begin next week, as
17	we've planned. So, I just want you to understand that.
18	MR. PARRELLA: I understand that. Thank you, Your
19	Honor.
20	THE COURT: When do you anticipate you would know?
21	MR. PARRELLA: Um
22	THE COURT: And I'm really asking what time today
23	you will tell me?
24	MR. PARRELLA: Today. And beyond as soon as
25	possible, I really could not give you an estimate of time.

1	THE COURT: Well, I need more than that,
2	Mr. Parrella, because we've to call off 90 jurors today if they
3	are not to come in on Monday.
4	MR. PARRELLA: Well, then, I would have to say
5	3:00 o'clock.
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	MR. RUBY: Your Honor, may I please inquire through
8	the Court whether what is also being mulled over is an
9	application for a stay of the trial?
10	THE COURT: Well, I think it might be more automatic
11	than that, Mr. Ruby.
12	MR. PARRELLA: Yes, I believe it is.
13	THE COURT: Looks to me like it is automatic.
14	MR. PARRELLA: I believe that the if a notice of
15	appeal was filed, for the time period that it's active, divests
16	this Court of jurisdiction for that time period.
17	THE COURT: Now, I mean, I would be delighted to
18	know that I'm wrong about that, but I'll tell you what I was
19	looking at was 18 U.S.C. 3731.
20	Is that what you were looking at, Mr. Parrella?
21	MR. PARRELLA: Yes, Your Honor.
22	MR. RUBY: Well, as usual, everyone is ahead of me
23	on this.
24	THE COURT: I read the New York Times, Mr. Ruby
25	(Laughter.)

-- and so I figured this might happen. 1 THE COURT: MR. RUBY: Just so -- again, so in the same spirit 2 3 of letting everybody know where they stand, if there is a 4 discretionary component to whether the trial goes forward, 5 notwithstanding the appeal that they are mulling over, we 6 oppose any delay in the trial. And, if the law permits us to 7 be heard on that, we will ask to be heard. But, we are getting 8 -- I'm getting ahead of myself, and but that is where we stand. THE COURT: All right, well, take a look at 3731 and 9 you can see. Because I would be delighted to have discretion 10 11 to deny the stay, but I don't know if I do. 12 MR. RUBY: Thank you. 13 THE COURT: So 3:00 o'clock today. And you'll share with counsel --14 15 MR. PARRELLA: Yes. 16 THE COURT: -- your view? 17 MR. PARRELLA: (Nodding head in the affirmative.) THE COURT: Assuming for a moment that we go forward 18 19 next week, there are a few other things I wanted to mention. 20 One is that we currently have two capital trials in 21 process down the hall on this floor. And you may have noticed 22 that there are some magnetometers for the folks going into 23 those courtrooms in place, so the scrutiny that the marshals 24 and the other security personnel are providing for us now is 25 particularly acute because there is the issues of the capital

cases down the hall as well as just the general number of people involved in the trial going on here.

As a consequence, everyone coming into this building is being very carefully scrutinized. So I just want to emphasize that weapons are not allowed in any audience in my courtroom or in any other courtroom on this floor. So, nobody should try or be under the misapprehension that whether they are otherwise authorized to carry weapons that they may carry weapons into this courtroom, they may not do that. So, I just want to emphasize that.

Oh, I wanted to ask, and I don't know if I've issued an order related to this or not: Does the defendant plan to be here on Monday?

MR. RUBY: We were going to ask to the Court. Our impression was none of us needed to be here.

THE COURT: That was my impression as well.

MR. PARRELLA: That was our understanding, is that while we will be in the building to collect the completed questionnaires at whatever time they are completed --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. PARRELLA: -- we didn't actually have a court
date.

THE COURT: Right, that's my thought, too. So if nobody objects, I don't see any reason for the defendant to be here Monday. Tuesday, but not Monday.

MR. RUBY: Thank you.

THE COURT: Oh, the questionnaires: We have the questionnaires. And I believe the Government has agreed to make the photocopies for Mr. Weir, in the Jury Office. So those will be -- the jurors are going to get here around 9:00 on Monday, they will fill out the questionnaires, and they will be ready for you, I think David said, by about noon. And I want a copy, and you guys have copies, and you can go over them.

If there are any jurors as to whom you just completely agree they are disqualified for cause, you jointly agree on that, by, say, 4:00 o'clock on Monday, you may let me know. And if I agree with you, we will leave a message for those jurors that they don't to have come back on Tuesday.

I'm not urging you to try to do that because I don't want us to get bogged down in fights over for-cause challenges in advance, but if there are some that you just feel there is really no question about and you jointly agree on that, let me know -- if you could let me know by 4:00, we can put a phone message up that those folks don't need to come in so we would save them a trip.

Oh, and I want you to know that my plan on Monday is to meet -- to go into the jury room after they are all there and before they have begun doing the questionnaires. I'll have a court reporter; I did not contemplate having counsel there,

just to tell them that they -- just to order them not to read any newspapers or watch any TV stories or listen to any radio stories or go on the Internet or communicate about this case between Monday and Tuesday. That's my plan because otherwise I don't think they would know that.

So I want to know if there is any objection to that.

MR. PARRELLA: I have no objection on that, but I
would go further and add that to the admonition at the end of
every day.

THE COURT: Oh, I will every day, but I'm just talking about when counsel and the clients are not there. I don't normally hang out with the jurors, so I just wanted to alert you that that is my plan on Monday, before anybody anybody's even filled out a questionnaire. I want to make sure they don't start researching on Monday.

MR. RUBY: No objection.

THE COURT: And as I say, I'll take a court reporter and put it on the record so you'll have a record of what that was.

Oh, we -- we have been allocating space in this room for the process, and I see Joan Anyon out there; Joan's been just doing such wonderful work to help us get organized. And we have roughly, I think we've said 60 seats for the press in this room, and that leaves 28 seats for everybody else in the room.

1	Is that right, Joan?
2	MS. ANYON: Yes.
3	THE COURT: In addition, of course, there is the
4	overflow room in the ceremonial courtroom, which will have a
5	lot of seats.
6	I do not contemplate any anybody sitting in front
7	of the bar except lawyers and people affiliated in some way
8	with the lawyers. So my question to you, Mr. Ruby, is does
9	Mr. Bonds have any number of seats he wants in the for
10	family, for example?
11	MR. RUBY: Yes, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: How many?
13	MR. RUBY: At the beginning of the trial I don't
14	know how many seats go into a row, but at the beginning of the
15	trial would the consider setting aside the first two rows,
16	half
17	THE COURT: No. I would consider his family, and I
18	don't know how many that is, but nobody else.
19	MR. RUBY: Um, well, then can may we please have
20	one row, and we'll see how that goes? If we need to make
21	application to the Court we will, but that might work.
22	THE COURT: How many family is that? That's a lot
23	of family.
24	I don't want bodyguards, I want family. Bodyguards
25	can come, but they are going to have to stand in line with

But the family comes to the head of the line. 1 everybody else. 2 That's my view, anyway. 3 MR. RUBY: We weren't talking about bodyguards. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. RUBY: There are family; there are friends; 6 there are children. I mean, and again, I don't know exactly 7 how many seats comprise a half row. 8 MS. ANYON: Eleven. THE COURT: 9 Eleven? I think that -- if by "family" the Court 10 MR. RUBY: 11 means immediate family, well, there aren't 11 immediate family, but there are kin folk who I think would fit the description of 12 13 family. And if -- and again, if a life-long friend doesn't 14 15 qualify, then 11 seats would do it. We'll take -- under the 16 circumstances, we'll take what we can get. We are not trying 17 to intrude or on anybody else's prerogatives. 18 THE COURT: Right. 19 There is also some consideration: MR. RUBY: 20 may be times during the trial when Mr. Bonds would want to sit 21 next to his wife or mom and be in the row with them, and so if 22 that is a public seating area or if half the row is a public

thing, so I would ask you to take that into account in deciding

seating area, maybe that isn't the most desirable sort of

whether one of those rows is suitable.

23

24

25

1	THE COURT: All right, well, I'll think about that.
2	I don't I want to make sure that non-press public has enough
3	room to come watch the trial, to the extent that they care to.
4	And the access will be, you know, first
5	come/first serve. And of course, by the second week the
6	interest may be less acute than it is in the first week as
7	people realize what trials are actually like.
8	(Laughter.)
9	THE COURT: So if your request is particularly with
10	respect to the first day or two, let me think about that.
11	MR. RUBY: All right, thank you.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MR. RUBY: Will there be a minute order whether the
14	Court makes this decision, if we could know who to contact when
15	Tuesday morning comes, so that we know for sure what the real
16	estate is and that person will know
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	Joan, may I tell them to contact you?
19	MS. ANYON: Yes.
20	THE COURT: In any event, you can contact Joan
21	Anyon.
22	MR. RUBY: Pardon me?
23	THE COURT: In any event, you can contact Joan Anyon
24	with respect to that particular issue, too. She has been the
25	guru of those kinds of matters.

1	MR. RUBY: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Um-hmm.
3	Okay, that's all on my list. Is there anything else
4	on other folks' list?
5	MR. RUBY: A question: Will Mr. Weir be providing
6	us with the rank jurors, that is, the list, so we will know the
7	order in which people will be called?
8	THE COURT: Yes, in the following way: He'll give
9	you a random they are going to generate a random order.
10	MR. RUBY: Yes.
11	THE COURT: So, they'll give you that list which is
12	all the names, and that will be the order that we follow when
13	we do the voir dire. So that will be the order.
14	The questionnaires will be in alphabetical order, so
15	somebody is going to have to rearrange the questionnaires to
16	put them in the order that the random list generates. But then
17	you will know.
18	MR. RUBY: Thank you. We're grateful to Mr. Weir.
19	And, it will save everyone a lot of time.
20	THE COURT: I think so, yeah.
21	Mr. Parrella, anything else?
22	MR. PARRELLA: Nothing further, Your Honor.
23	MR. RIORDAN: Your Honor, could we have just a
24	couple of seconds?
25	THE COURT: Sure.

1	(Attorneys Riordan and Ruby confer.)
2	MR. RUBY: Your Honor, with the Court's permission,
3	what we intend to do later today is to file a brief on the
4	subject of whether Mr. Anderson could be called in front of the
5	jury. He can't, but we have a brief on this.
6	THE COURT: Good. I would like that. I would like
7	to see what your thoughts are.
8	MR. RUBY: We'll get that filed as soon as we can
9	today.
10	THE COURT: Thank you.
11	Anything else?
12	MR. PARRELLA: No, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: So we'll hear from you by 3:00?
14	MR. PARRELLA: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Thank you.
16	(Proceedings adjourned at 10:55 a.m.)
17	
18	00
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Sahar McVickar, Official Court Reporter for the United States Court, Northern District of California, hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me, a certified shorthand reporter, and were thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete and true record of said proceedings as bound by me at the time of filing. The validity of the reporter's certification of said transcript may be void upon disassembly and/or removal from the court file.

/s/ Sahar McVickar

Sahar McVickar, RPR, CSR No. 12963

March 16, 2009